Training Course & Workshop in

Process Piping in Accordance with ASME B31.3 ASME B31.3

Design, Construction, and Mechanical Integrity

May 25 -26, 2006 Singapore

Don Frikken

Becht Engineering Company

5515 HWY 50 Gerald, MO 63037 573-764-6744

(dfrikken@becht.com)

Introduction to Process Piping in Accordance with ASME B31.3 Design, Construction, and Mechanical Integrity

May 25 -26, 2006 Singapore

Don Frikken Becht Engineering Company 5515 HWY 50 Gerald, MO 63037 573-764-6744 (dfrikken@becht.com)

Workshop Objectives

The aim of this workshop is to provide the participants with an overview of the area of Piping Technology with an emphasis on process piping. The workshop covers design, fabrication, examination and testing requirements of ASME B31.3. It covers Code requirements from design through start-up of new piping systems, as well as standards for inspection and repair of piping systems that have been in service, as provided in API 570, Piping Inspection Code.

This workshop provides a foundation of knowledge necessary for those responsible for assuring the mechanical integrity of existing piping systems, as well as those responsible for designing and constructing new piping systems.

Who Should Attend

Engineers, senior designers, maintenance, quality assurance, inspection and manufacturing personnel who work with process piping (e.g., in the chemical, petroleum, plastic processing, pulp and paper fields) will find it a time-saving means to broaden and update their knowledge of piping.

Workshop Contents

The workshop will review the basic requirements of the ASME B31 Code for Pressure Piping with emphasis on B31.3, Process Piping. General topics in the workshop include Code organization and intent, pressure design, design for sustained loads including support design, flexibility analysis, equipment loads, flanges, expansion joints, supports and restraints, materials, fabrication, examination, testing, and, for existing piping systems, mechanical integrity. Applications of these concepts, including simple hand analysis methods and computerbased analysis methods, will be demonstrated. Included will be comparisons between ASME B31.3 and ASME B31.1, Power Piping. Inspection and maintenance (mechanical integrity) of existing piping systems will be covered, as provided in API 570, Piping Inspection Code.

Each session will be conducted in a lecture/discussion/problem solving format designed to provide intensive instruction and guidance on understanding Code requirements. The instructors will be available following each day's session to provide participants with further opportunity for discussion and consideration of specific problems.

ABOUT THE INSTRUCTOR

Don Frikken is an internationally recognized authority in piping design. Now employed by Becht Engineering, Don had been with Solutia, Inc. and Monsanto Company for 34 years; working on a wide range of activities including piping and mechanical design, project engineering, and engineering standards. However, Don's principal specialty is piping design, including design of complex piping systems, piping flexibility analysis, selection of piping components including valves, development of piping standards and specifications, and developing and teaching numerous piping seminars and workshops.

He is an ASME Fellow and has been active on various ASME standards committees. He is past Chair of the ASME B31.3 Process Piping Code committee, Chair of the B31 Standards Committee, which oversees all B31 Piping Code committees, member of the B16 Standards Committee, member of the Board on Pressure Technology Codes and Standards, member of the Codes and Standards Board of Directors, which oversees the development and

maintenance of six hundred ASME codes and standards, and recently completed a three year term as an ASME Senior Vice President.

Don has received a number of awards, and recently was awarded the ASME Melvin R. Green Codes and Standards Medal, which recognizes outstanding contributions to the development of documents used in ASME programs of technical codification, standardization and certification. Don graduated with a B.S.M.E. from Kansas State University and has a master's degree in civil engineering from the University of Missouri-Rolla.

B31.3 Workshop Outline

ASME B31 Piping System Standards

National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Piping System Standards (selected)

Canadian Standards Association

Compressed Gas Association (CGA) Piping System Standards (selected)

Chlorine Institute Piping System Standards (selected)

HISTORY OF B31.3

In 1926 the American Standards Institute initiated Project B31 to develop a piping code. ASME was the sole administrative sponsor. The fIrst publication of this document, American Tentative Standard code for Pressure Piping, occurred in 1935. From 1942 through 1955, the code was published as the American Standard Code for Pressure Piping, ASA B31.1. It was composed of separate sections for different industries.

These sections were split off, starting in 1955 with the Gas Transmission and Distribution Piping Systems, ASA B31.8. ASA B31.3, Petroleum Refinery Piping Code Section was first published in 1959. A number of separate sections have been prepared, most of which have been published. The various section designations follow.

B31.1 Power Piping B31.2 Fuel Gas Piping (withdrawn in 1988) B31.3 Process Piping B31.4 Liquid Transportation Systems for Hydrocarbons, Liquid Petroleum Gas, Anhydrous Ammonia, and Alcohols B31.5 Refrigeration Piping B31.6 Chemical Plant Piping (never published) B31.7 Nuclear Piping (moved to B&PV Code Section III) B31.8 Gas Transmission and Distribution Piping Systems B31.9 Building Services Piping B31.10 Cryogenic Piping (never published) B31.11 Slurry Piping B31.12 Hydrogen Piping (project started in 2004)

A draft of the section for Chemical Plant Piping, B31.6, was completed in 1974. However, it was decided to merge this section into B31.3 because the two code sections were closely related. A joint code section, Chemical Plant and Petroleum Refinery Piping, was published in 1976. It was at this time that items such as fluid service categories such as Category M, nonmetallic piping, and safeguarding were introduced into B31.3.

In 1980 the nonmetals portions of the B31.3 Code were gathered and combined into one chapter, Chapter VII.

A draft code for Cryogenic Piping had been prepared by Section Committee B31.10 and was ready for approval in 1981. Again, since the coverage overlapped with B31.3, it was decided to merge the Section Committees and develop a single inclusive Code. This Code was issued in 1984.

In addition, in 1984 another potentially separate code was added as new chapter to B31.3, High Pressure Piping, Chapter IX.

The resulting document is a Code that is very broad in scope. It covers fluids as benign as water and as hazardous as mustard gas. It covers temperatures from cryogenic conditions to l500°F and beyond. It covers pressures from vacuum and atmospheric to 50,000 psi and higher. Part of the philosophy of the Code stems from this broad coverage. There is a great deal of responsibility placed with the Owner and latitude to use good engineering.

With respect to the initials that appear in front of B31.3, these have been ASA, ANSI and ASME. It is currently correct to refer to the Code as ASME B31.3. The initial designation ASA referred to the American Standards Association. This became the United States of America Standards Institute and then the American National Standards Institute between 1967 and 1969. Thus, ASA was changed to ANSI. In 1978, the Standards Committee was reorganized as a committee operating under ASME procedures with ANSI accreditation. Therefore, the initials ASME now appear in front of B31.3. These changes in acronyms have not changed the B31.3 committee structure or the Code.

CODE ORGANIZATION

ASME B31.3 FLUID SERVICE CONTAINMENT SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS

Rev. 3/10/06

ASME B31.3 FLUID SERVICE WORKSHOP For the fluid services described, what B31.3 fluid service definition is most nearly applicable? **PIPE AND FITTING SELECTION WORKSHOP** For the fluid services described, what piping system attributes and components would you select? **Fluid Service B31.3 Fluid Service Fire Resistant? Blow-out Resistant? Material of Construction Pressure Class Thd, SW or BW NPS < 2?** Steam condensate piping NPS ½ - 8. Downstream of an atmospheric flash tank, so maximum temperature is 212°F (100°C). Maximum pressure is 90 psig (6 bar). Dry chlorine liquid, NPS ¾ - 4. Chlorine rail car to vaporizer. Relief pressure is 710 psig (49 bar) and temperatures range from -29°F to 140°F (-34°C to 60°C). Some studies indicate that there may be some human fatalities resulting from a 30-min exposure to 50 ppm and higher concentrations. 96% sulfuric acid, NPS ¾ - 4. Type 316 stainless steel is required for line velocities greater than 3 ft/sec (1 m/sec), otherwise carbon steel is acceptable. Fluoropolymer lined steel is acceptable. Temperature is ambient, maximum pressure is 120 psig (8 bar). Gasoline, NPS $\frac{1}{2}$ - 8. Temperature is ambient, max. pressure is 60 psig (4 bar). 650 psig (45 bar) steam superheated to 735°F $(390^{\circ}$ C), NPS $\frac{3}{4}$ - 16. Relief pressure is 725 psig (50 bar). Therminol 66 heat transfer oil, NPS ¾ - 6. Max. temperature is 560°F (295°C), max. pressure is 120 psig (8 bar). Styrene monomer, NPS ¾ - 12. Ambient temperature, max. pressure is 105 psig (7 bar). Flammable. Polymerizes when left stagnant at ambient temperature for long periods of time. Lime/water slurry, NPS ¾ to 4. Ambient temperature, maximum pressure is 140 psig (9.5 bar).

ASME B16 Piping Component Standards

Not listed in ASME B31.3

MSS (Manufacturers Standardization Society of the Valve and Fittings Industry) Piping Component Standards

Not listed in ASME B31.3

API Piping Component Standards (selected)

* Not listed in ASME B31.3

ASTM Piping Component Standards (selected)

AWWA Piping Component Standards (selected)

* Not listed in ASME B31.3

Canadian Standards Association

BECHT ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

ASME B16.5 Flange Ratings - Carbon Steel (US Customary Units - psi)

BECHT ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

ASME B16.5 Flange Ratings – Type 316 Stainless Steel (US Customary Units - psi)

BECHT ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

ASME B16.5 Flange Ratings – Type 316 Stainless Steel (Metric Units - bar)

Rev. 3/10/06

SEAMLESS MANUFACTURING PROCESS AT USS TUBULAR #3 MILL - 10.75" TO 26" O.D. (**http://www.usstubular.com/facilities/splplo.htm)**

ELECTRIC RESISTANCE WELD (ERW) MANUFACTURING PROCESS AT USS TUBULAR- 8.625" TO 20" O.D. (http://www.usstubular.com/facilities/erw.htm)

Page 18
Rev. 3/10/06

Page 19
Rev. 3/10/06

BRANCH CONNECTIONS

CHARACTERISTICS OF SELECTED GASKET TYPES

CHARACTERISTICS OF SELECTED BOLTING

TABLE 323.2.2 REQUIREMENTS FOR LOW TEMPERATURE TOUGHNESS TESTS FOR METALS These Toughness Test Requirements Are in Addition to Tests Required by the Material Specification

See notes on the next page.

NOTES:

- (1) Carbon steels conforming to the following are subject to the limitations in Box B-2; plates per ASTM A 36, A 283, and A 570; pipe per ASTM A 134 when made from these plates; and pipe per ASTM A 53 Type-F and API 5L Gr. A25 buttweld.
- (2) Impact tests that meet the requirements of Table 323.3.1, which are performed as part of the weld procedure qualification, will satisfy all requirements of para. 323.2.2, and need not be repeated for production welds.
- (3) Impact testing is not required if the design minimum temperature is below -29°C (-20°F) but at or above -104°C (-155°F) and the Stress Ratio defined in Fig. 323.2.2B does not exceed 0.3 times S.
- (4) Tests may include tensile elongation, sharp-notch tensile strength (to be compared with unnotched tensile strength), and/or other tests, conducted at or below design minimum temperature. See also para. 323.3.4.
- (5) Impact tests are not required when the maximum obtainable Charpy specimen has a width along the notch of less than 2.5 mm (0.098 in.). Under these conditions, the design minimum temperature shall not be less than the lower of -48° C (-55° F) or the minimum temperature for the material in Table A-1.
- (6) Impact tests are not required when the maximum obtainable Charpy specimen has a width along the notch of less than 2.5 mm (0.098 in.).

Rev. 3/10/06

NOTES:

(1) Any carbon steel material may be used to a minimum temperature of -29°C (-20°F) for Category D Fluid Service.

(2) X Grades of API 5L, and ASTM A 381 materials, may be used in accordance with Curve B if normalized or quenched and tempered (3) The following materials may be used in accordance with Curve D if normalized:

- (a) ASTM A 516 Plate, all grades:
- (b) ASTM A 671 Pipe, Grades CE55, CE60, and all grades made with A 516 plate;
- (c) ASTM A 672 Pipe, Grades E55, E60, and all grades made with A 516 plate.
- (4) A welding procedure for the manufacture of pipe or components shall include impact testing of welds and HAZ for any design minimun temperature below -29°C (-20°F), except as provided in Table 323.2.2, A-3(b).
- (5) Impact testing in accordance with para. 323.3 is required for any design minimum temperature below -48°C (-55°F), except a permitted by Note (3) in Table 323.2.2.
- (6) For blind flanges and blanks, \overline{T} shall be $\frac{1}{4}$ of the flange thickness.

FIG. 323.2.2A MINIMUM TEMPERATURES WITHOUT IMPACT TESTING FOR CARBON STEEL **MATERIALS**

(See Table A-1 for Designated Curve for a Listed Material) (See Table 323.2.2A for tabular values)

Rev. 3/10/06

GENERAL NOTES:

- (a) The Stress Ratio is defined as the maximum of the following:
	- (1) nominal pressure stress (based on minimum pipe wall thickness less allowances) divided by S at the design minimum temperature;
	- (2) for piping components with pressure ratings, the pressure for the condition under consideration divided by the pressure rating at the design minimum termperature;
	- (3) combined longitudinal stess due to pressure, dead weight, and displacement strain (stress intensification factors are not included in this calulation) divided by S at the design minimum temperature. In calculating longitudinal stress, the forces and moments in the piping system shall be calculated using nominal dimensions and the stresses shall be calculated using section properties based on the nominal dimensions less corrosion, erosion, and mechanical allowances.
- (b) Loadings coincident with the metal temperature under consideration shall be used in determining the Stress Ratio as defined above.

FIG. 323.2.2B REDUCTION IN MINIMUM DESIGN METAL TEMPERATURE WITHOUT IMPACT **TESTING**

Rev. 3/10/06

DESIGN PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE WORKSHOP

B31.3 Appendix A – Allowable Stresses: Carbon Steel Example (1 of 2)

Table A-1

ASME B31.3-2002

TABLE A-1 (CONT'D) BASIC ALLOWABLE STRESSES IN TENSION FOR METALS¹

(continued)

Rev. 3/10/06

BECHT ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

B31.3 Appendix A – Allowable Stresses: Carbon Steel Example (2 of 2)

ASME B31.3-2002

TABLE A-1 (CONT'D) BASIC ALLOWABLE STRESSES IN TENSION FOR METALS¹ Numbers in Parentheses Refer to Notes for Appendix A Tables; Specifications Are ASTM Unless Otherwise Indicated

Table A-1

Rev. 3/10/06

B31.3 Appendix A – Allowable Stresses: Stainless Steel Example (1 of 2)

Table A-1

ASME B31.3-2002

TABLE A-1 (CONT'D) BASIC ALLOWABLE STRESSES IN TENSION FOR METALS¹ Numbers in Parentheses Refer to Notes for Appendix A Tables; Specifications Are ASTM Unless Otherwise Indicated

BECHT ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

ASME B31.3 PROCESS PIPING WORKSHOP SUPPLEMENT Page 28

B31.3 Appendix A – Allowable Stresses: Stainless Steel Example (2 of 2)

ASME B31.3-2002

TABLE A-1 (CONT'D) BASIC ALLOWABLE STRESSES IN TENSION FOR METALS¹

Numbers in Parentheses Refer to Notes for Appendix A Tables; Specifications Are ASTM Unless Otherwise Indicated

Rev. 3/10/06

Table A-1

B31.3 Appendix A – Quality Factors Example (1 of 2)

ASME B31.3-2002

TABLE A-1B

BASIC QUALITY FACTORS FOR LONGITUDINAL WELD JOINTS IN PIPES, TUBES, AND FITTINGS E_j These quality factors are determined in accordance with para. 302.3.4(a). See also para. 302.3.4(b) and Table 302.3.4 for increased quality factors applicable in special cases. Specifications, except API, are ASTM.

Rev. 3/10/06

Table A-1B

B31.3 Appendix A – Quality Factors Example (2 of 2)

Table A-1B

ASME B31.3-2002

TABLE A-1B (CONT'D)

BASIC QUALITY FACTORS FOR LONGITUDINAL WELD JOINTS IN PIPES, TUBES, AND FITTINGS E_i These quality factors are determined in accordance with para. $302.3.4(a)$. See also para. $302.3.4(b)$ and Table 302.3.4 for increased quality factors applicable in special cases. Specifications, except API, are ASTM.

CALCULATING REQUIRED WALL THICKNESS FOR STRAIGHT PIPE

 PD

 $t = -$ **2 (SEW + PY)**

Where:

t = **pressure design thickness**

- $P =$ internal design gauge pressure
- $D =$ outside diameter of pipe
- S = allowable stress value for material from piping code at the design temperature
- $E =$ longitudinal weld joint quality factor from piping code (next page)
- W = weld joint strength reduction factor
	- = 1.0 for all materials 950ºF (510ºC) and cooler
- $Y =$ coefficient. See the next page. The following values generally apply:
	- = 0.4 for ductile metals 900ºF (482ºC) and cooler
	- = 0.0 for cast iron

The minimum nominal new thickness required is the sum of:

pressure design thickness (t)

- + manufacturing tolerance (ASTM A53 allows plus or minus 12.5%)
- + corrosion (or erosion) allowance
- + threading allowance

STRAIGHT PIPE WALL THICKNESS WORKSHOP

1. What is the required nominal pipe wall thickness for NPS 1 and NPS 8 for the following case?

 Styrene monomer service ASTM A53 Gr B ERW carbon steel pipe Design pressure and temperature from Problems 1 and 2, page 25 S = 20,000 psi (138 MPa) - verify Corrosion allowance = $1/8$ " (3.2 mm) Socket welding thru NPS 1½ Buttwelding NPS 2 and larger

2. If the construction was threading instead of socket welding NPS ¾ through 1½, what would the wall thickness have to be for NPS 1? [See discussion on Threaded Joint Fluid Service Requirements in Section 2 and para. 314.]

VALUES OF COEFFICIENT Y

When the pressure design thickness is less than 1/6 of the pipe outside diameter, the following values apply:

The factor Y increases with increasing temperature. At elevated temperatures, when creep effects become significant, creep leads to a more even distribution of stress across the pipe wall thickness. The larger factor Y leads to a decrease in the calculated wall thickness for the same allowable stress.

When the pressure design thickness is greater than or equal to 1/6 of the pipe outside diameter, the following equation applies:

$$
Y = \frac{d + 2c}{D + d + 2c}
$$

Where:

- $d =$ inside diameter of the pipe
- $D =$ outside diameter of the pipe
 $c =$ corrosion (or erosion) allowa

corrosion (or erosion) allowance plus threading allowance

ASME B31.3-2002

302.3.5

TABLE 302.3.4

NOTE:

(1) It is not permitted to increase the joint quality factor by additional examination for joint 1 or 2.
Page 34
Rev. 3/10/06

PIPE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES IN US CUSTOMARY UNITS

BECHT ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

Page 35
Rev. 3/10/06

Page 36
Rev. 3/10/06

PIPE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES IN SI METRIC UNITS

Page 37
Rev. 3/10/06

Page 38
Rev. 3/10/06

PIPING MATERIAL SPECIFICATION WORKSHOP

Develop a piping material specification for styrene monomer.

Design conditions are from Problems 1 and 2 on page 25.

- o Condition 1: ______________ psi (bar) at ______________ °F (°C)
- \circ Condition 2: 50 psi (3.5 bar) at 735°F (390°C)
- o Pipe wall thicknesses are as determined from calculations on page 32.

Pressure Class 300

What should be used for branch construction? [Note that the answer will different for different size combinations.]

Sample from Process Industry Practices (PIP) PN03CS1S01

E Reducing Tee

P Branch Weld w/ Reinforcing Pad (Pad thickness equals run pipe thickness. Pad width equals 1/2 branch OD.)

- S Sockolet
- T Tee
- W Weldolet (Note 05)

Note that when branch connection fittings (Weldolet) are specified, the requirements for these components should be described as well, e.g., "Forged carbon steel ASTM A105, MSS SP-97". When ordering buttweld branch connection fittings, both the header and branch wall thicknesses should be specified.

Sample Notes:

NOTES:

01 The pipe and fittings are adequate for the full flange rating.

02 All buttwelding component thicknesses shall match pipe thicknesses.

03 Threaded joints are permitted only at outlet of vent and drain valves, at hydrostatic connections, at outlet of instrument take-off valves, and to match equipment.

05 Integrally reinforced branch connections are permitted outside the sizes shown in the branch connection table. 13 Welding neck flanges shall be used against buttweld fittings.

19 Sch 160 pipe and pipe nipples shall be used for threaded connections for sizes NPS 1/2 – 1-1/2.

26 To be used only when indicated on the P&ID.

27 Pipe and pipe components thicker than 1/2-inch may require impact tested materials when the minimum design metal temperature is below 100F (38C) (See ASME B31.3, paragraph 323.2.2).

136 Sch XS pipe shall be used for threaded connections for NPS 2.

MEAN THERMAL EXPANSION COEFFICIENT BETWEEN 70ºF AND THE INDICATED TEMPERATURE (1 x 10-6/ºF)

TOTAL THERMAL EXPANSION BETWEEN 70ºF AND THE INDICATED TEMPERATURE (IN/100 FT)

MEAN THERMAL EXPANSION COEFFICIENT BETWEEN 20ºC AND THE INDICATED TEMPERATURE (1 x 10-6/ºC)

TOTAL THERMAL EXPANSION BETWEEN 20ºC AND THE INDICATED TEMPERATURE (mm/m)

SPRING HANGAR LOAD TABLE FROM ANVIL INTERNATIONAL, INC. (Part 1)

Spring Hanger Size and Series Selection

How to use hanger selection table:

In order to choose a proper size hanger, it is necessary to know the actual load which the spring is to support and the amount and direction of the pipe line movement from the cold to the hot position.

Find the actual load of the pipe in the load table. As it is desirable to support the actual weight of the pipe when the line is hot, the actual load is the hot load. To determine the cold load, read the spring scale, up or down, for the amount of expected movement.

The chart must be read opposite from the direction of the pipe's movement. The load arrived at is the cold load.

If the cold load falls outside of the working load range of the hanger selected, relocate the actual or hot load in the adjacent column and find the cold load. When the hot and cold loads are both within the working range of a hanger, the size number of that hanger will be found at the top of the column.

use a Quadruple. Double check to assure desired variability is achieved.

SPRING HANGAR LOAD TABLE FROM ANVIL INTERNATIONAL, INC. (Part 2)

Spring Hanger Size and Series Selection

How to use hanger selection table (cont.):

Should it be impossible to select a hanger in a particular series such that both loads occur within the working range, consideration should be given to a variable spring hanger with a wider working range or a constant support hanger.

The cold load is calculated by adding (for up movement) or subtracting (for down movement) the product of spring rate times movement to or from the hot load.

Cold load = (hot load) \pm (movement) x (spring rate)

A key criteria in selecting the size and series of a variable spring is a factor known as variability. This is a measurement of the percentage change in supporting force between the hot and cold positions of a spring and is calculated from the formula:

Variability = (Movement) x (Spring Rate) / (Hot Load)

If an allowable variability is not specified, good practice would be to use 25% as recommended by MSS-SP-58.

4/5 of movement up to 1" use Fig. B-268, up to 2" use Fig. 98, up to 3" use a Triple-, up to 4 use a Quadruple. Double check to assure desired variability is achieved.

Fig. 82, Fig. B-268, Fig. 98, Triple Spring, and Quadruple Spring Fig. C-82, Fig. C-268, Fig. C-98, Triple-CR, and Quadruple-CR Spring (Corrosion Resistant)

Design features:

- Precompression.
	- Precompressing the spring into the hanger casing provides the following advantages:
	- (1) Saves up to 50% in headroom by reducing the length of the hanger.
	- (2) Reduces the installed height of the overall hanger assembly.
	- (3) Prevents the spring supporting force from exceeding the normal safe limits of variations.
	- (4) Saves valuable erection time because spring is precompressed close to 1/2" of the working range.
- Calibration: all Anvil Variable Spring Hangers and supports are calibrated for accurate loading conditions.
- Load indicator is clearly seen in the slot, simplifying reading of the scale plate. Load is read from bottom of indicator.
- Cold set at the factory upon request.
- Spring and casing are fabricated of steel and are rugged and compact.
- Piston cap serves as a centering device or guide maintaining spring alignment.
- Casing protects the spring from damage and weather conditions.

Standard Finish: Painted with semi-gloss primer.

Corrosion Resistant:

Anvil offers corrosion-resistant and weather-resistant Variable Spring Hangers to fill vital needs in the chemical and refinery industries as well as in modern outdoor power plant construction.

For protection against severe weather conditions or moderate corrosive conditions, the parts of the hanger are galvanized per

ASTM A-153, except the spring which has a protective coating and the load column for Type F which is electro-galvanized.

Advantages of a Protective Coating:

- Protects from a wide range of corrosives.
- Does not affect the flex life of the spring.
- Recommended for ambient temperatures up to 200° F

Travel stop:

The functional design of the pre-compressed variable spring hanger permits the incorporation of a two-piece travel stop that locks the hanger spring against upward or downward movement for temporary conditions of underload or overload. The complete travel stop, the up travel stop only for cold set purposes or the down travel stop only which may be employed during erection, hydrostatic test (Anvil permits a hydrostatic test load of 2 times the normal operating load for the spring hanger) or chemical

cleanout will be furnished only when specified. The travel stop is painted red and is installed at the factory with a caution tag attached calling attention that the device must be removed before the pipe line is put in service. Permanently attached travel stops available upon request.

Approvals: WW-H-171E (Types 51, 56 and 57) and MSS-SP-69 (Types 51, 52 and 53).

Specifications: Anvil Variable Spring Hangers are welded in strict accordance with ASME Section IX.

Size Range: The Anvil Variable Spring Hanger in five series and seven types is offered in twenty-three sizes (Fig. B-268 only is offered in twentyfive sizes). The hanger can be furnished to take loads 10 lbs. to 50,000 lbs. Orderina:

- (1) Size
- (2) Type
- (3) Figure number
- (4) Product name
- (5) Desired supporting force in operating position
- Calculated amount and direction of pipe movement from (6) installed to operating position.
- (7) Customer's identification number (if any)
- (8) When ordering Type F spring specify if roller or guided, load column is to be furnished.
- (9) When ordering Type G, specify total load and load per spring plus center to center rod dimensions.
- (10) If required, specify with travel stop
- (11) When ordering corrosion resistant, specify C-268, C-82, C-98, Triple-CR, or Quadruple-CR " completely galvanized except coated spring coil".

Note: To help alleviate the problem of lifting large size spring hangers into position for installation, this product is available with lifting lugs (if required) on sizes weighing one hundred pounds or more.

GUIDED CANTILEVER METHOD – KELLOGG

δ = 48L²S_A/E_aD

Where:

- δ = maximum permissible displacement
- $D =$ pipe outside diameter
- E_a = elastic modulus of pipe material
- $L =$ length of leg under consideration
- S_A = allowable stress range

GUIDED CANTILEVER CHART – KELLOGG

Assumed mode of deflection of guided cantilever.

 $L =$ Length of leg, ft.

 δ = Lateral deflection, in.

Value of E used = 29×10^6 psi.

 S_A = Code allowable stress range psi.

Instructions: Determine value of $L\sqrt{S_A}/10^3$. Enter with this value of ordinate scale and read over to line for proper nominal pipe size. Read down to abscissa scale. The value obtained will be the permissible lateral deflection for leg.

GUIDED CANTILEVER CORRECTION FACTORS – KELLOGG

100

Multiply f times δ to get the maximum permissible displacement for the geometry under consideration.

Correction Factor f, Guided Cantilever Method

Case I. For any exterior leg L.

THE PIPING FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS PROCESS

BECHT ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

Rev. 3/10/06

EXPANSION JOINT PRESSURE THRUST WORKSHOP

What is the apparent change in the weight of the vessel caused by increasing the pressure by 100 psig (700 kPa)?

The pitch diameter of the bellows is 6.87 in. (175 mm).

TYPES OF EXAMINATION

Visual examination means using the unaided eye (except for corrective lenses) to inspect the exterior and readily accessible internal surface areas of piping assemblies or components. It does not include nor require remote examination such as by use of boroscopes. Visual examination is used to check materials and components for conformance to specifications and freedom from defects; fabrication including welds, assembly of threaded bolted and other joints; piping during erection; and piping after erection. Further, visual examination can be substituted for radiography, as described later, which is called in-process examination. Requirements for visual examination are provided in the ASME B&PV Code, Section V, Article 9. Records of visual examinations are not required other than those of in-process examination.

Radiographic Examination means using X ray or gamma ray radiation to produce a picture of the subject part, including subsurface features, on radiographic film for subsequent interpretation. It is a volumetric examination procedure that provides a means of detecting defects that are not observable on the surface of the material. Radiographic examination is used to inspect welds and, in some circumstances, castings. Requirements for radiographic examination of welds are provided in the ASME B&PV Code, Section V, Article 2.

Ultrasonic Examination means detecting subsurface defects using high-frequency sound impulses. The defects are detected by the reflection of sound waves from them. It is also a volumetric examination method that can be used to detect subsurface defects. It can be used as an alternative to radiography for weld examination. The requirements for ultrasonic examination of welds are provide in the ASME B&PV Code, Section V, Article 5, with an alternative for basic calibration blocks provided in para. 344.6.

In-Process Examination is a visual examination of the entire joining process, as described in para. 344.7. It is applicable to welding and brazing for metals, and bonding for non-metals. Since radiographic examination is not considered to provide useful results in brazing and bonding, in-process examination is used for these instead of radiography. For welding, it is permitted as a substitute for radiographic examination if specified in the engineering design or specifically authorized by the Inspector. This is not as effective a quality control procedure as random radiography and should only be considered for welds when special circumstances warrant.

Liquid Penetrant Examination means detecting surface defects by spreading a liquid dye penetrant on the surface, removing the dye after sufficient time has passed for the dye to penetrate into any surface discontinuity, and applying a thin coat of developer to the surface which draws the dye from defects. The defects are observable by the contrast between the color of the dye penetrant and the color of the developer. It is used to detect surface defects, and is used for examination of socket welds and branch connections in severe cyclic service than cannot be radiographed, and for all welds including structural attachment welds that are not radiographed when the alternative leak test (para. 345.9) is used. Further, liquid penetrant examination of metallic bellows is required by Appendix X, para. X302.2.2. The requirements for liquid penetrant examination of welds and components other than castings are provided in the ASME B&PV Code, Section V, Article 6.

Magnetic Particle Examination employs either electric coils wound around the part or prods to create a magnetic field. A magnetic powder is applied to the surface and defects are revealed by patterns the powder forms in response to the magnetic field disturbances caused by defects. This technique reveals surface and shallow subsurface defects. As such, it can provide more information than liquid penetrant examination. However, its use is limited to magnetic materials. It is an alternative to liquid penetrant examination wherever such an examination is required in ASME B31.3 (except in the case of metallic bellows). The requirements for magnetic particle examination of welds and components other than castings are provided in the ASME B&PV Code, Section V, Article 7.

Hardness Testing is required after heat treatment under some circumstances, as specified in Table 331.1.1. Hardness testing is not required for carbon steel (P-1), ferritic and austenitic stainless steel (P-7 & P-8), high nickel alloys (P-9A & P-9B), as well as some less commonly used alloys. For welds, the hardness check includes both the weld and the heat affected zone.

WELD ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

BECHT ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

Rev. 3/10/06

this type of yield. $\frac{1}{2}$ 5

ilipe 5 Þ N/A the Code does not establish acceptance criteria or does not require evaluation of this k
* Alternative Leak Test requires examination of these welds, see para. 345.9
/ examination method generally used for evaluating t eces

BECHT ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

Second B31.3 Piping Engineering Workshop and Seminar

The Second Workshop and Seminar was held in 24 to 26 May 2006 at New Park Hotel, Singapore. The two events were each attended by about 60 delegates.

The events were very successful in view of the good participation and interest shown by the delegates during the presentation sessions as well as the Q&A session.

With the kind permission from the tutor and speakers, the presentation materials are available from the links provided at the frame on the left.

http://www.psig.sg/Workshop2006%20Frame.html

Below are some photos which shown the highlight of the two events.

Workshop by Mr Don Frikken

Group Photograph of delegates of the Workshop

PSIG Committee and organisation committee members

Q and A session

PSIG Chair Mr Leong Yee Hong present memento to Mr Tan Geok Leng,

speaker from MOM

Note. The workshop and training course material was collected by Eng. Abdel Halim Galala, Chairman Assistant for Engineering, Cairo Oil Refining Co. (CORC).